



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/703,269	10/31/2000	Robert J. Sweeney	279.188US1	9005
21186	7590 07/01/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			WALTON, GEORGE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	)(
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sweeney et al

M

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/703,269

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

George L. Walton 3753



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 7, 2003 2b) \( \mathbb{X} \) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-40 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) X Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims Application Papers 9)  $\square$  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/703,269

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. I truly apologize for getting the inventor's name incorrect in my previous action. The

references are correct but the name was incorrect. Mr. Hsu's first name is William, which is the

reason why I wrote his last name down wrong. Once again I am very sorry.

However, this doesn't change the order of the previous rejection. It still stands in view of Hsu.

The number of sample points, how they are analyzed, the extracting features, the predetermined

templates and the computed curvatures are truly obvious in view of Hsu as explained in the office

action dated November 29, 2002.

I will not make this action final because I am willing to entertain a telephone interview, to try

to expedite this application if at all possible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner

in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,269

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 1-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu in the

same manner as applied in the office action dated November 29, 2002.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is (703) 308-2596. Upon receipt

of this action, the applicant and/or attorney is invited to contact the examiner to discuss the above

action. My normal business hours are between 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Should any attempt after one

business day to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, you may contact the supervisor, Mr.

Mikado Buiz on (703) 308-0871. My fax number is (703) 308-7765.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application and any pre-

examination proceedings on this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0861.

**GLW** June 30, 2003

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3

TECHNOLOGY CENTER - 3700

**ART UNIT - 3753**